



LOS ANGELES COUNTY REGIONAL PARK AND OPEN SPACE DISTRICT

March 1, 2005

The Honorable Board of Directors
Los Angeles County
Regional Park and Open Space District
383 Kenneth Hahn Hall of Administration
500 West Temple Street
Los Angeles, California 90012

Dear Directors:

APPROVE THE REVISED PLAN OF EXPENDITURE AND REALLOCATION OF PER PARCEL DISCRETIONARY FUNDS FOR PROJECTS FUNDED UNDER THE SAFE NEIGHBORHOOD PARKS PROPOSITIONS OF 1992 AND 1996 (FIFTH DISTRICT- 3 -VOTE MATTER)

IT IS RECOMMENDED THAT YOUR BOARD:

- 1. Approve a revised plan of expenditure for \$289,224 of Specified Funds allocated to the County under Section (8), Subsection (a), Paragraph (2), Subparagraph (X) of the Safe Neighborhood Parks Proposition of 1992 (1992 Proposition) for improvements to parks in the North County, including Loma Alta Park. The revised plan of expenditure would reallocate the funds from the Various 5th District Parks Acquisition and Development Project to the Loma Alta County Park Gymnasium and General Park Improvement Project.
- 2. Approve the reallocation of \$150,146 of Per Parcel Discretionary Funds allocated to the County under Section (3), Subsection (c), Paragraph (1) of the Safe Neighborhood Parks Proposition of 1996 (1996 Proposition) from the Various 5th District Parks Acquisition and Development Project to the Loma Alta County Park Gymnasium and General Park Improvement Project.
- Approve the reallocation of \$390,163 of Per Parcel Discretionary funds allocated to the County under Section (8), Subsection (b), Paragraph (1) of the 1992 Proposition from the Loma Alta County Park Gymnasium and General Park Project to the George Lane Park Joe Walker Gymnasium Project.

PURPOSE/JUSTIFICATION OF RECOMMENDED ACTION

Approval of the first and second recommended actions will allow the Department of Public Works to proceed with construction of the Loma Alta County Park Gymnasium and General Park Improvement Project. The requested revised plan of expenditure in the amount of \$289,224 of Specified Funds allocated to the County under Section (8), Subsection (a), Paragraph (2), Subparagraph (X) of the 1992 Proposition and the request for the reallocation of \$150,146 of Per Parcel Discretionary Funds allocated to the County under Section (3), Subsection (c), Paragraph (1) of the 1996 Proposition are required to allow for the completion of the Loma Alta County Park Gymnasium and General Park Improvement Project.

Approval of the final recommended action will reallocate \$390,163 of Per Parcel Discretionary funds allocated to the County under Section (8), Subsection (b), Paragraph (1) of the 1992 Proposition to the George Lane Park Joe Walker Gymnasium Project. Your Board previously approved this reallocation in Board action requested by the Chief Administrative Office on January 4, 2005, but the reallocation was omitted from the District's request of the same date.

Reallocation of Funding under the 1992 and 1996 Propositions

The 1992 Proposition provided funding for projects that were specified in the measure and identified a procedure for revision of those projects prior to July 1, 1998. That procedure remains the method by which specified project funds may be approved by your Board for other uses. An agency may submit a revised plan of expenditure to use the funds for a project that is consistent with the purposes of the 1992 Proposition after the grantee's governing body considers and approves the revision of its project at a public meeting. This Board action fulfills that requirement.

Per Parcel Discretionary Funds identified in Section (3), Subsection (c), Paragraph (1) of the 1996 Proposition are subject to reallocation by your Board under the provision of Section (5), Subsection (d) of the 1996 Proposition. Agencies eligible for these funds were required to encumber their funds by June 30, 2001. Beginning with Fiscal Year 2001-02, any Per Parcel Discretionary Funds not committed to expenditure were available for reallocation by your Board to projects that it deems to be of the highest priority and that fit within one or more of the classes of expenditures specified in Section (3) of the 1996 Proposition.

Per Parcel Discretionary Funds identified in Section (8), Subsection (a), Paragraph (1) of the 1992 Proposition are subject to reallocation by your Board under the provision of

Section (9), Subsection (e) of the 1992 Proposition. Agencies eligible for these funds were required to encumber their funds by June 30, 1996. Beginning with Fiscal Year 1996-97, any Per Parcel Discretionary Funds not committed to expenditure were available for reallocation by your Board to projects that it deems to be of the highest priority and that fit within one or more of the classes of expenditures specified in Section (8) of the 1992 Proposition.

In a related action on today's agenda, the Department of Public Works is recommending approval of the reallocation of the funds for the Loma Alta County Park Gymnasium and General Park Improvement Project.

IMPLEMENTATION OF STRATEGIC GOALS

The proposed recommendation furthers the Board approved County Strategic Plan Goal No. 1 (Service Excellence), Goal No. 4 (Fiscal Responsibility), Goal No. 5 (Children and Families' Well-being) and Goal No. 6 (Community Service) by enabling the Department to utilize District funds to improve various park facilities in the Fifth Supervisorial District.

FISCAL IMPACT/FINANCING

Sufficient funds, in the amount of \$829,533, are appropriated in the Los Angeles County Regional Park and Open Space District's (District) Assessment Project Fund (HB4) under Other Charges to fund the two projects.

FACTS AND PROVISIONS/LEGAL REQUIREMENTS

Specified Funds – 1992 Proposition

Specified Project funds identified in Section (8), Subsection (a), Paragraph (2), Subparagraph (X) are subject to reallocation by your Board under the provisions of Section (18) of the 1992 Proposition. A revised plan of expenditure may be approved for expenditure of these funds after July 1, 1998, for expenditure of the funds in accordance with the purposes of the 1992 Proposition within the area of the District in which funds were originally authorized to be expended.

Per Parcel Discretionary Funds – 1996 Proposition

Per Parcel Discretionary funds identified in Section (3), Subsection (c), Paragraph (1) not expended or committed to expenditure are subject to reallocation by your Board under the provisions of Section (5), Subsection (d) of the 1996 Proposition after June 30, 2001.

Per Parcel Discretionary Funds - 1992 Proposition

Per Parcel Discretionary funds identified in Section (8), Subsection (b), Paragraph (1) not expended or committed to expenditure are subject to reallocation by your Board under the provisions of Section (9), Subsection (e) of the 1992 Proposition after June 30, 1996.

If your Board does not approve the Department's requests, the Department may either expend the funds for the uses originally specified, request your Board's approval to fund different new projects with the funds, or relinquish its claim to the funds.

County Counsel has reviewed this action as to form.

IMPACT ON CURRENT SERVICES (OR PROJECTS)

These actions will have no impact on any other projects funded through the District but are required so that the Department may utilize these funds on the George Lane Park Joe Walker Gymnasium Project and the Loma Alta County Park Gymnasium and General Park Improvement Project.

ENVIRONMENTAL DOCUMENTATION

A mitigated Negative Declaration for the Loma Alta County Park Gymnasium and General Park Improvement Project was adopted by your Board on November 9, 2004.

The Westside Union School District was the lead agency for the construction of the George Lane Park Joe Walker Gymnasium and determined that the project was exempt from CEQA.

CONCLUSION

Please instruct the Executive Officer-Clerk of the Board to return one conformed copy of this action to the Chief Administrative Office, Capital Projects Division, and to the Department of Parks and Recreation.

Respectfully submitted,

Russ Guiney

Director, Parks and Recreation

c: Chief Administrative Officer

Executive Officer, Board of Supervisors (22)

County Counsel